1

2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 DANNY R. TIMMONS, No. C-14-1116 EMC 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DETERMINING IN FORMA 10 PAUPERIS STATUS SHOULD NOT v. **CONTINUE ON APPEAL** 11 EUGENE LAND, 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 On April 30, 2014, this Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice. See Docket 16 No. 10 (order). A final judgment was entered on April 30, 2014. See Docket No. 11 (judgment). 17 On September 18, 2014, the Ninth Circuit issued an order referring to this Court for determination whether in forma pauperis status should continue on appeal. Timmons v. Land, Ninth 18 19 Circuit Case No. 14-16166, Docket No. 5. The Court finds Plaintiff's appeal to be frivolous as the 20 complaint plainly failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, as discussed in the 21 underlying order rejecting the complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) ("An appeal may not be taken 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith."); *Hooker v. American Airlines*, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002) (construing "in good faith" to mean "non-frivolous"); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989) (An action is frivolous "where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 10, 2014

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge